

REMARKS

Entry of Amendment

As Applicant is filing a RCE herewith, this amendment and the accompanying IDS should be entered and considered by the Examiner at this time.

Applicant will now address each of the Examiner's rejections in the order in which they appear in the Final Rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

Claims 2, 3, 10 and 11

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner rejects Claims 2, 3, 10 and 11 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al. (US 6,222,515) in view of Ozawa (US 6,864,874). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While Applicant traverses this rejection, in order to advance the prosecution of this application, Applicant is amending independent Claims 2 and 3 to recite the feature of "supplying picture signals from a digital video data dividing circuit to a D/A converter circuit," while independent Claims 10 and 11 are being amended to recite the feature of "a digital video data dividing circuit for supplying picture signals to the D/A converter circuit." Applicant is further amending Claims 2 and 3 to recite the feature of "the digital video data dividing circuit and the D/A converter circuit are formed on the same substrate" and amending Claims 10 and 11 to recite the feature of "the digital video data dividing circuit, the D/A converter circuit, a gate driving circuit and plural pixels are

formed on the same substrate.” These features are supported by, for example, Figs. 2 and 3 and pages 11 et seq. of the specification of the present application.

In contrast, neither Yamaguchi nor Ozawa appears to disclose or suggest a digital video data dividing circuit.

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that independent Claims 2, 3, 10 and 11, and those claims dependent thereon, are not disclosed or suggested by the cited references and are patentable thereover. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 4-9 and 13-18

The Examiner also rejects Claims 4-9 and 13-18 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi in view of Ozawa and further in view of Katakura et al. (US 6,057,824). This rejection is also respectfully traversed.

For at least the reasons discussed above for the independent claims, these dependent claims are also not disclosed or suggested by the cited references. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant is submitting an information disclosure statement (IDS) herewith. As a RCE is also being submitted herewith, it is respectfully requested that this IDS be entered and considered prior to the issuance of any further action on this application.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and should be allowed.

Please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-1039 for any further fee due for this Amendment, RCE and/or IDS.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 2, 2007

/Mark J. Murphy/
Mark J. Murphy
Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.
200 West Adams Street
Suite 2850
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 236-8500

Customer No. 26568